arXiv:1502.03881v2 [gr-qc] 22 Jul 2015

Scale-invariant scalar spectrum from the nonminimal derivative coupling with fourth-order term

Yun Soo Myung[*] and Taeyoon Moon[†]

Institute of Basic Sciences and Department of Computer Simulation, Inje University
Gimhae 621-749, Korea

Abstract

An exactly scale-invariant spectrum of scalar perturbation generated during de Sitter spacetime is found from the gravity model of the nonminimal derivative coupling with fourth-order term. The nonminimal derivative coupling term generates a healthy (ghost-free) fourth-order derivative term, while the fourth-order term provides an unhealthy (ghost) fourth-order derivative term. The Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum obtained from Fourier transforming the fourth-order propagator in de Sitter space is recovered by computing the power spectrum in its momentum space directly. It shows that this model provides a truly scale-invariant spectrum, in addition to the Lee-Wick scalar theory.

Keywords: scale-invariant spectrum, higher-derivative scalar theory, nonminimal derivative coupling

Typeset Using LaTeX

---

[*] e-mail address: ysmyung@inje.ac.kr

[†] e-mail address: tymoon@inje.ac.kr

1 Introduction

In the evolutionary phase of density inhomogeneities, one often makes the simplifying assumption that the primordial power spectrum has the simple power-law expression like $\mathcal{P}(k) = A_s(k/k_*)^{n_s-1+\frac{\alpha_s}{2}\ln(k/k_*)}$ with k_* a pivot scale. The case of $n_s = 1$ and $\alpha_s = 0$ corresponds to the Harrison-Zeld'ovich (HZ) spectrum [1, 2, 3] and this has been ruled out by different datasets [4]. In the picture of slow-roll inflation (quasi-de Sitter expansion), the momentum dependence at any given time arises as a consequence of the time dependence of H and $\dot{\phi}$ compared to the de Sitter (dS) expansion of constant H and $\dot{\phi} = 0$. Recent data from Planck has shown that the scalar spectrum is a nearly scale-invariant one with the amplitude $A_s = \frac{1}{2\epsilon_* M_{\rm P}^2}(H_*^2/2\pi)^2 = (2.441 \pm 0.092) \times 10^{-9}$, implying that it is approximately 1(more precisely, $n_s = 0.9603 \pm 0.0073$) [5].

On the other hand, it is worth noting that the power spectrum of a massless minimally coupled (mmc) scalar in dS expansion takes the form of $(H/2\pi)^2[1 + (k/aH)^2]$. It reduces to the HZ scale-invariant spectrum of $(H/2\pi)^2$ in the superhorizon region of $k \ll aH$, whereas it leads to $(k/2\pi a)^2$ in the subhorizon region of $k \gg aH$ [6]. We note here that the latter is just the spectrum of a massless conformally coupled (mcc) scalar generated during dS expansion. This happens to the tensor spectrum too. Clearly, the HZ scale-invariant spectrum is related to the two-point function which is logarithmically growing for largely separated points in dS space. Recently, it was shown that the IR growing of the two-point function is physical because Fourier transforming logarithmic two-point function can lead to the HZ spectrum when one tames logarithmic divergence by using Cesàro-summability technique [7]. This implies that one could obtain the HZ spectrum through an IR regularization procedure.

More recently, the authors have obtained the HZ spectrum $(H/2\pi)^2$ from the Lee-Wick model of a fourth-order derivative scalar theory in dS spacetime [8]. Here we have obtained the fourth-order propagator as the inverse of the Lee-Wick (LW) operator $\Delta_{\rm LW} = -\frac{1}{M^2}(\bar{\nabla}^4 - M^2\bar{\nabla}^2)$ with M^2 mass parameter. The operator of "$-M^2\Delta_{\rm LW}$" becomes the Weyl operator $\Delta_4 = \bar{\nabla}^4 - 2H^2\bar{\nabla}^2$ in dS spacetime [9] which is a conformally covariant operator only when choosing $M^2 = 2H^2$. The HZ spectrum obtained by Fourier transforming the propagator in dS spacetime was confirmed by computing the power spectrum directly in momentum space. Also, the scale-invariant tensor spectrum generated during dS inflation could be found from the conformal gravity of $\sqrt{-g}C^2$ [10]. This suggests strongly that a fourth-order derivative theory related to conformal symmetry provides the HZ scale-invariant spectrum in whole dS spacetime.

In this work, we wish to look for another model which may provide a HZ scale-invariant spectrum. This would be the nonminimal derivative coupling [11, 12, 13, 14, 15] with fourth-order term because this coupling provides $-3\xi H^2\bar{\nabla}^2$-term naturally for dS inflation. If a priori arbitrary parameter ξ is tuned to be 2/3, one could obtain the scale-invariant spectrum. In this case, this model is more attractive than the LW model. Although the computation of the slow-roll inflation (quasi-dS expansion) is promising to compare with observation data, we here compute power spectrum generated during dS inflation because the computation is more easy and intuitive than those in quasi-dS expansion.

2 Gravity model

We start with the gravity model whose action is given by

$$S_{\rm ENF}=S_{\rm E}+S_{\rm NF}=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[\Big(\frac{R}{2\kappa}-\Lambda\Big)+\frac{1}{2M^2}\Big(\xi G_{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu\phi\partial^\nu\phi-(\nabla^2\phi)^2\Big)\Big], \qquad (1)$$

where $\kappa=8\pi G=1/M_{\rm P}^2$, $M_{\rm P}$ being the reduced Planck mass, and M^2 is a coupling parameter with dimension of mass squared. Here $G_{\mu\nu}=R_{\mu\nu}-Rg_{\mu\nu}/2$ is the Einstein tensor and ξ is a coefficient to be adjusted as $\frac{2}{3}$. Λ is introduced as a positive cosmological constant to obtain dS spacetime, instead of potential V. We note that the scalar ϕ has dimension of mass. The former term in the last parenthesis is the nonminimal derivative coupling (NDC) term [11, 12, 13, 14, 15] and the latter denotes the fourth-order kinetic (FK) term. We note that the former generates no more degrees of freedom (DOF) than general relativity canonically coupled to a scalar field, while the latter generates a new scalar DOF because it is a fourth-order derivative term. It may be noted that the former generates healthy (ghost-free) higher derivative terms, while the latter is unhealthy (ghost) higher derivative terms. At the first sight, the combined action $S_{\rm NF}$ seems to be an unbalanced scalar model. For reference, we introduce the LW model given by [16, 17, 18]

$$S_{\rm LW}=-\frac{1}{2}\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\Big[g_{\mu\nu}\partial^\mu\phi\partial^\nu\phi+\frac{1}{M^2}(\nabla^2\phi)^2\Big], \qquad (2)$$

where the first term denotes the canonically coupled (CC) term.

Now we wish to explain the cosmological relevance of our model $S_{\rm NF}$. The NDC was introduced firstly by noting that the friction is enhanced gravitationally at higher energies in the slow-roll inflation [14]. Actually, the NDC makes any potential adequate for inflation without introducing dangerous higher time derivative (ghost state) [15]. The NDC flattens a steep (non-flat) potential effectively as well as it increases friction. Also, we note that this kind of coupling could be view as either a subgroup of Horndeski theory [19] or the most general scalar-tensor theory which is regarded as a ghost-free theory [20]. These theories were used to construct theoretically consistent model of inflation [21]. Here, we wish to explore the role of NDC in the dS inflation by reminding that $G_{\mu\nu}$ takes the simple form of $-3H^2 g_{\mu\nu}$ during dS phase.

At this stage, we would like to mention the inclusion of FK term. In this case, one immediately ask the question of "can the model (1) be a consistent theory?" because the FK term generates the ghost in Minkowski spacetime. The existence of the ghost may imply the inconsistency of the model. For simplicity, we consider the LW theory (2) because it includes the FK term too. It is worth noting that this model was employed successfully to derive a bouncing universe to avoid singularity and a scale-invariant spectrum [18]. This was possible to occur because (2) can be rewritten by two fields: one is a normal scalar $\hat{\phi}$ and the other is a LW (ghost) scalar $\tilde{\phi}$. The LW perturbation theory is a higher derivative theory and thus, it contains propagators with wrong sign residues about the new poles. Lee and Wick have provided a prescription for handling this issue [16, 17]. The LW-particles associated with these new poles are not in the spectrum, but $\tilde{\phi}$ decays to ordinary degrees of freedom instead. Their resummed propagators do not satisfy the usual analyticity properties since the poles are on the physical sheet. They have proposed deforming integration contours in the Feynman diagrams so that there is no catastrophic exponential growth as time increases. This amounts to a future boundary condition and thus, the LW theory violates the usual causal conditions. While the Lee-Wick interpretation is peculiar, it seems to be consistent, at least in perturbation theory, and predictions for physical observables can be made order by order in perturbation theory.

We remind the reader that for $\xi = 2/3$, the contribution from the ghost (mcc scalar) is found to be essential to obtain a scale-invariant spectrum by canceling of time-dependent term arising from the healthy (mmc) scalar in dS spacetime. Even though the FK term causes the ghost state, it is necessary to have a scale-invariant scalar spectrum in the dS inflation because it provides a scalar degrees of freedom other than ϕ. In the case of $\xi \neq 2/3$, $S_{\rm NF}$ implies two scalars: one is a healthy massless scalar and the other is an unhealthy massive scalar. In this case, there is no cancellation between two scalars and thus, the scalar power spectrum is not positive definite (unitary).

Varying the action (1) with respect to the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$ leads to the Einstein equation

$$G_{\mu\nu}+\kappa\Lambda g_{\mu\nu}=\kappa T^{\rm NF}_{\mu\nu}=\kappa(T^{\rm NDC}_{\mu\nu}+T^{\rm FK}_{\mu\nu}), \tag{3}$$

where two energy-momentum tensors are given by

$$\begin{aligned} M^2T^{\rm NDC}_{\mu\nu} &= \xi\Big[\frac{1}{2}R\nabla_\mu\phi\nabla_\nu\phi-2R_{(\nu}{}^{\rho}\nabla_{|\rho|}\phi\nabla_{\mu)}\phi+\frac{1}{2}G_{\mu\nu}(\nabla\phi)^2-R_{\mu\rho\nu\sigma}\nabla^\rho\phi\nabla^\sigma\phi \\ &- \nabla_\mu\nabla^\rho\phi\nabla_\nu\nabla_\rho\phi+(\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi)\nabla^2\phi \\ &+ g_{\mu\nu}\Big(R^{\rho\sigma}\nabla_\rho\phi\nabla_\sigma\phi-\frac{1}{2}(\nabla^2\phi)^2+\frac{1}{2}(\nabla^\rho\nabla^\sigma\phi)\nabla_\rho\nabla_\sigma\phi\Big)\Big] \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and

$$M^2T^{\rm FK}_{\mu\nu} = -\nabla_\mu(\nabla^2\phi)\nabla_\nu\phi-\nabla_\nu(\nabla^2\phi)\nabla_\mu\phi+g_{\mu\nu}\Big[\nabla_\rho(\nabla^2\phi)\nabla^\rho\phi+\frac{1}{2}(\nabla^2\phi)^2\Big]. \tag{5}$$

We observe that $T^{\rm NDC}\sim T^{\rm FK}$ when one disregards curvature coupled derivative terms in (4).

On the other hand, the scalar equation for the action (1) is given by

$$\nabla^4\phi+\xi G^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\phi=0. \tag{6}$$

In order to see how the universe evolves with (1), we consider the spatially flat FRW spacetime by choosing cosmic time t as

$$ds^2_{\rm FRW}=-dt^2+a(t)^2(dx^2+dy^2+dz^2). \tag{7}$$

Replacing Λ by the potential V, two Friedmann equations take complicated forms

$$\begin{aligned} H^2 &= \frac{\kappa}{3}\Big[\rho_{\rm NDC}+V+\rho_{\rm FK}\Big] \\ &= \frac{\kappa}{3}\Big[\frac{9}{2}\frac{H^2}{M^2}\dot\phi^2+V+\frac{1}{M^2}\Big(3\dot H\dot\phi^2+\dddot\phi\dot\phi-\frac{9}{2}H^2\dot\phi^2-\frac{1}{2}\ddot\phi^2\Big)\Big], && (8) \\ \dot H &= -\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big[\Big(\frac{3H^2}{M^2}-\frac{\dot H}{M^2}\Big)\dot\phi^2+\frac{1}{M^2}\Big(6\dot H\dot\phi^2+2\dddot\phi\dot\phi+4H\ddot\phi\dot\phi\Big)\Big], && (9) \end{aligned}$$

where the overdot $(\dot{})$ denotes the differentiation with respect to time t. We observe from (8) that even though fourth-order derivative terms are generated in $T^{\rm NDC}_{\mu\nu}$, there is no ghost state in $\rho_{\rm NDC}$ which means that any dangerous higher time-derivative is not generated. This is why one favors the NDC term to enhance friction effects in the slow-roll inflation [14, 15]. On the contrary, $T^{\rm FK}_{\mu\nu}$ generates ghost states in $\rho_{\rm FK}$ because of fourth-order derivative term. Hence, the inclusion of $\rho_{\rm FK}$ is problematic to obtain a solution of the universe evolution. This is a basic difference between NDC and FK in the FRW universe.

The scalar equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \ddddot{\phi} + 6H\dddot{\phi} &+ (12H^2 + 6\dot{H})\ddot{\phi} \\ &+ (9H^3 + 15H\dot{H} + 3\ddot{H})\dot{\phi} + M^2\frac{dV}{d\phi} = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Requiring the slow-roll conditions of $\dot{\phi}^2 < 2H^2$ and $\ddot{\phi} < 3H\dot{\phi}$ with neglecting all higher-order time derivative terms from FK term, Eqs. (8) and (10) may be written approximately as

$$H^2 \simeq \frac{\kappa}{3}V, \tag{11}$$

$$3H\dot{\phi} \simeq -\frac{M^2}{3H^2}\frac{dV}{d\phi}, \tag{12}$$

which seem to be oversimplified equations. This means that it is very difficult to find the corresponding slow-roll equations if one includes the FK term. However, one always obtains the dS solution for ϕ=const and $V = \Lambda$ because two Friedmann and scalar equations reduce to $H^2 = \kappa\Lambda/3$ and $\dot{H} = 0$ with a trivially satisfied scalar equation. In this case, all scalar-derivative terms disappear but its perturbation will keep the nature of NDC+FK terms. This is a reason why we will choose the simplistic background geometry of dS spacetime to study cosmological implication of our action (1). It is interesting to note that the Einstein+NDC+CC terms provides the dS form $a(t) = e^{Ht}$ with $H = (3\sqrt{\xi/M^2})^{-1}$ and $\phi(t) = e^{-t/\sqrt{\xi/M^2}}$ at $t = -\infty$ [22].

A solution of dS spacetime to Eqs.(3) and (6) together with $\bar{T}^{\rm NF}_{\mu\nu} = 0$ can be easily found when one chooses a constant scalar

$$\bar{R} = 4\kappa\Lambda, \quad \bar{\phi} = {\rm const}. \tag{13}$$

Here, the curvature, Ricci, and Einstein tensors can be written by

$$\bar{R}_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = H^2(\bar{g}_{\mu\rho}\bar{g}_{\nu\sigma} - \bar{g}_{\mu\sigma}\bar{g}_{\nu\rho}), \quad \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} = 3H^2\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \quad \bar{G}_{\mu\nu} = -3H^2\bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \tag{14}$$

with Hubble constant $H = \sqrt{\kappa\Lambda/3}$. Further, the flat-slicing of dS spacetime can be realized by introducing conformal time η as

$$ds^2_{\rm dS} = \bar{g}_{\mu\nu}dx^\mu dx^\nu = a(\eta)^2[-d\eta^2 + \delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j], \tag{15}$$

where $a(\eta)$ is conformal scale factor expressed by

$$a(\eta) = -\frac{1}{H\eta}. \tag{16}$$

During dS inflation , the scale factor a goes from small to a very large value like $a_f/a_i \simeq 10^{30}$ which implies that the conformal time $\eta = -1/aH(z = -k\eta)$ runs from $-\infty(\infty)$ [the infinite past] to $0^-(0)$ [the infinite future]. However, the termination of inflation is not controlled by the cosmological constant and thus, the inflation continues eternally. In other words, there is no mechanism to exit from inflation. Even though the dS inflation has such a handicap in compared to slow-roll inflation (quasi-dS inflation), we choose the dS inflation because our model (1) seems unlikely to provide the slow-roll inflation.

The dS SO(1,4)-invariant distance between two spacetime points x^μ and x'^μ is defined by

$$Z(x,x') = 1 - \frac{-(\eta-\eta')^2 + |\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^2}{4\eta\eta'} = 1 - \frac{(x-x')^2}{4\eta\eta'} \tag{17}$$

since $Z(x,x')$ has the ten symmetries which leave the metric of dS spacetime invariant. Here $(x-x')^2$ is the Lorentz-invariant flat spacetime distance.

3 Scalar fourth-order propagator

One begins with general perturbed metric with 10 DOF

$$ds^2 = a(\eta)^2\Big[-(1+2\Psi)d\eta^2 + 2B_i d\eta dx^i + (\delta_{ij} + \bar{h}_{ij})dx^i dx^j\Big], \tag{18}$$

where the SO(3)-decomposition is given by

$$B_i = \partial_i B + \Psi_i,\ \bar{h}_{ij} = 2\Phi\delta_{ij} + 2\partial_{ij}E + \partial_i\bar{E}_j + \partial_j\bar{E}_i + h_{ij} \tag{19}$$

with the transverse vectors $\partial_i\Psi^i = 0$, $\partial_i\bar{E}^i = 0$, and transverse-traceless tensor $\partial_i h^{ij} = h = 0$. To investigate the cosmological perturbation around the dS spacetime (15), we might choose the Newtonian gauge as $B = E = 0$ and $\bar{E}_i = 0$. Under this gauge, the corresponding perturbed metric with 6 DOF and perturbed scalar can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= a(\eta)^2\Big[-(1+2\Psi)d\eta^2 + 2\Psi_i d\eta dx^i + \Big\{(1+2\Phi)\delta_{ij} + h_{ij}\Big\}dx^i dx^j\Big], && (20)\\ \phi &= \bar{\phi} + \varphi. && (21) \end{aligned}$$

Now we linearize the Einstein equation (3) around the dS background to obtain the cosmological perturbed equations. It is known that the tensor perturbation is decoupled from scalars. The tensor equation becomes a tensor form of a massless scalar equation

$$\delta R_{\mu\nu}(h)-3H^2h_{\mu\nu}=0\to\bar{\nabla}^2h_{ij}=0. \tag{22}$$

We mention briefly how do two scalars Ψ and Φ, and a vector Ψ_i go on. The linearized Einstein equation requires $\Psi=-\Phi$ which was used to define the comoving curvature perturbation in the slow-roll inflation and thus, they are not physically propagating modes in dS spacetime. During the dS inflation, no coupling between $\{\Psi,\Phi\}$ and φ occurs since $\bar{\phi}=0$ implies $\delta T^{\rm NDC}_{\mu\nu}=\delta T^{\rm FK}_{\mu\nu}=0$. Furthermore, the vector Ψ_i is not a propagating mode in the ENF theory because it has no kinetic term. Hence, we have the tensor h_{ij} with 2 DOF propagating in dS spacetime.

It would be better to find the scalar power spectrum by making Fourier transform of propagator in dS spacetime. First of all, we consider the $\xi=2/3$ case. In this case, the perturbed scalar equation takes the form

$$\bar{\nabla}^4\varphi+\xi\bar{G}^{\mu\nu}\bar{\nabla}_\mu\bar{\nabla}_\nu\varphi=0\to_{\xi=2/3}\bar{\nabla}^4\varphi-2H^2\bar{\nabla}^2\varphi\equiv\Delta_4\varphi=0, \tag{23}$$

where Δ_4 is just the Weyl operator (conformally covariant fourth-order operator) in dS spacetime [9, 23]. This is the main reason why we have introduced our action of $S_{\rm ENF}$ (1).

Actually, the non-degenerate fourth-order equation can be factorized as

$$\bar{\nabla}^2(\bar{\nabla}^2-2H^2)\varphi=0, \tag{24}$$

which implies two second-order equations for mmc and mcc scalars

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\varphi^{(\rm mmc)} = 0, \tag{25}$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}^2-2H^2)\varphi^{(\rm mcc)} = 0, \tag{26}$$

where the solution to (24) is given by $\varphi=\varphi^{(\rm mmc)}+\varphi^{(\rm mcc)}$. For simplicity, (i) denotes two cases: (i=1) for mmc and (i=2) for mcc. We emphasize that a choice of $\xi=2/3$ leads to a mcc scalar. Otherwise, one has a massive scalar propagating on dS spacetime.

Expanding $\varphi^{(i)}$ in terms of Fourier modes $\phi^{(i)}_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)$

$$\varphi^{(i)}(\eta,\mathbf{x})=\frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int d^3\mathbf{k}\ \phi^{(i)}_{\mathbf{k}}(\eta)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}}, \tag{27}$$

Eqs.(25) and (26) become

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(\frac{d^2}{dz^2}-\frac{2}{z}\frac{d}{dz}+1\Big)\phi^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}} &= 0, \qquad (28)\\ \Big(\frac{d^2}{dz^2}-\frac{2}{z}\frac{d}{dz}+1+\frac{2}{z^2}\Big)\phi^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}} &= 0 \qquad (29)\end{aligned}$$

with $z=-\eta k$. Solutions to (28) and (29) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(1)}_{\mathbf{k}} &= c_1(i+z)e^{iz}, \qquad (30)\\ \phi^{(2)}_{\mathbf{k}} &= c_2 ize^{iz}, \qquad (31)\end{aligned}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are constants to be determined. These will be used to compute the power spectrum directly in the next section.

On the other hand, the linearized equation with an external source J_φ takes the form

$$\Delta_4\varphi=-M^2J_\varphi\to\varphi(x)=-\frac{M^2}{\Delta_4}J_\varphi\equiv-D(Z(x,x'))J_\varphi(x'), \qquad (32)$$

where the propagator is given by the inverse of Δ_4 as [24]

$$D(Z(x,x'))=\frac{M^2}{2H^2}\Big[\frac{1}{-\bar{\nabla}^2}-\frac{1}{-\bar{\nabla}^2+2H^2}\Big]=\frac{M^2}{2H^2}[G_{\rm mmc}(Z(x,x'))-G_{\rm mcc}(Z(x,x'))] \qquad (33)$$

with the dS-invariant distance $Z(x,x')$ (17). Here the propagators of mmc scalar [25] and mcc scalar [26] in dS spacetime are given by

$$G_{\rm mmc}(Z(x,x'))=\frac{H^2}{(4\pi)^2}\Big[\frac{1}{1-Z}-2\ln(1-Z)+c_0\Big],\quad G_{\rm mcc}(Z(x,x'))=\frac{H^2}{(4\pi)^2}\frac{1}{1-Z}, \qquad (34)$$

where the former is the dS invariant renormalized two-point function (on the space of non-constant modes), while the latter is the simplest scalar two-point function on dS spacetime. Substituting (34) into (33), the propagator takes the form

$$D(Z(x,x'))=\frac{M^2}{16\pi^2}\Big(-\ln[1-Z(x,x')]+\frac{c_0}{2}\Big) \qquad (35)$$

which is a purely logarithm up to an additive constant c_0.

The scalar power spectrum is defined by Fourier transforming the propagator at equal time $\eta = \eta'$ as given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P} &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\int d^3\mathbf{r}\ 4\pi k^3 D(Z(\mathbf{x},\eta;\mathbf{x}',\eta))e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}, \quad \mathbf{r}=\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}' && (36)\\
&= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{k^3M^2}{4\pi}\int d^3\mathbf{r}\Big(-\ln\Big[\frac{r^2}{4\eta^2}\Big]+\frac{c_0}{2}\Big)e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}} && (37)\\
&= -\frac{1}{(2\pi)^3}\frac{k^3M^2}{4\pi}\int d^3\mathbf{r}\ln[r^2]e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{r}}+\frac{k^3M^2}{4\pi}\Big(\ln[4\eta^2]+\frac{c_0}{2}\Big)\delta^3(\mathbf{k}) && (38)\\
&= -\frac{M^2k^2}{8\pi^3}\int_0^\infty dr\Big\{r\sin[kr]\ln[r^2]\Big\}, && (39)
\end{aligned}$$

where the last (time-dependent) term in (38) disappeared, thanks to

$$k^3\delta^3(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{k\delta(k)\delta(\theta)\delta(\phi)}{\sin\theta} = 0. \tag{40}$$

We may use Cesàro-summation method to compute a logarithmically divergent integral (39) [7]. For this purpose, we note that the integral of $\int_0^\infty f(x)dx$ is Cesàro summable, if

$$(C,\alpha) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int_0^\lambda dx\left(1-\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^\alpha f(x) \tag{41}$$

exists and is finite for integer $\alpha \geq 0$. Then, (C,β) is also Cesàro summable for any integer $\beta > \alpha$.

To investigate Cesàro-summability of the integral explicitly, we focus on $f(r) = r\sin[kr]\ln[r^2]$ in (39). In this case, (C,α) is

$$(C,\alpha) = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int_0^\lambda dr\left(1-\frac{r}{\lambda}\right)^\alpha\Big(r\sin[kr]\ln[r^2]\Big). \tag{42}$$

We note that the integral $(C,0)$ takes the same form as (39). After manipulations, we have

$$(C,0) = -\frac{1}{k^2}\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\Big[\Big(k\lambda\cos[k\lambda]-\sin[k\lambda]\Big)\ln[\lambda^2/2]+\mathrm{Si}[k\lambda]\Big], \tag{43}$$

where $\mathrm{Si}[x]$ denotes the sine-integral function defined by $\mathrm{Si}[x] = \int_0^x(\sin[t]/t)dt$. The first two terms in (43) diverge in the $\lambda\to\infty$ limit and thus, $(C,0)$ is not a convergent integral. However, the last term in (43) is finite as it is shown in

$$\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\int_0^{k\lambda}\frac{\sin[t]}{t}dt = \frac{\pi}{2}. \tag{44}$$

Further, $(C,1)$ is also not convergent since it becomes

$$(C,1) = -\frac{1}{k^2}\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\Big(\sin[k\lambda]\ln[\lambda^2/2]+\mathrm{Si}[k\lambda]\Big), \tag{45}$$

where the first term diverges in the limit of $\lambda \to \infty$. On the other hand, for $\alpha = 2$, the corresponding integral (42) has a finite value

$$(C,2) = -\frac{2}{k^2} \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} \Big(\mathrm{Si}[k\lambda]\Big) = -\frac{\pi}{k^2}, \tag{46}$$

where we used (44). Also, we have checked that in the limit of $\lambda \to \infty$,

$$(C,2) = (C,3) = (C,4) = (C,5) = \cdots . \tag{47}$$

This implies that (C,β) is also Cesàro summable for any integer $\beta > \alpha = 2$.

Considering (39) together with (46), the scalar spectrum takes the form

$$\mathcal{P} = \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2}. \tag{48}$$

For $M^2 = 2H^2$, it leads to an exactly scale-invariant spectrum

$$\mathcal{P} = \Big(\frac{H}{2\pi}\Big)^2. \tag{49}$$

This can be easily checked by noting that for $M^2 = 2H^2$ and $\xi = 2/3$, $S_{\rm NF}$ in (1) becomes the Lee-Wick scalar model in dS spacetime [8].

If one uses (33) instead of (35) with $M^2 = 2H^2$, after Fourier transforming it, its spectrum is computed to be

$$\mathcal{P}_{\rm f} = \mathcal{P}_{\rm mmc} - \mathcal{P}_{\rm mcc} = \Big(\frac{H}{2\pi}\Big)^2 \Big[1 + (k\eta)^2 - (k\eta)^2\Big] = \Big(\frac{H}{2\pi}\Big)^2, \tag{50}$$

which is a unitary scale-invariant spectrum.

Finally, we would like to mention the unfixed ξ case whose fourth-order equation is given by

$$\bar\nabla^4\varphi + \xi \bar G^{\mu\nu}\bar\nabla_\mu\bar\nabla_\nu\varphi = 0 \to \bar\nabla^4\varphi - m_\xi^2\bar\nabla^2\varphi \equiv \Delta_4^\xi\varphi = 0 \tag{51}$$

with the mass squared

$$m_\xi^2 = 3\xi H^2. \tag{52}$$

Its propagator takes the form

$$D[Z(x,x'),m_\xi^2] = \frac{M^2}{2H^2}\Big[\frac{1}{-\bar\nabla^2} - \frac{1}{-\bar\nabla^2 + m_\xi^2}\Big] = \frac{M^2}{2H^2}\Big[G_{\rm mmc}(Z(x,x')) - G[Z(x,x'),m_\xi^2]\Big]. \tag{53}$$

In general, a massive scalar propagator $G[Z;m_\xi^2]$ must depend on the SO(1,4)-invariant distance $Z(x,x')$ (17) which has ten symmetries in dS spacetime. Also, it should satisfy the scalar wave equation for $x\neq x'$ $(Z\neq 1)$

$$\Big[Z(1-Z)\frac{d^2}{dZ^2}+2(1-2Z)\frac{d}{dZ}-\frac{m_\xi^2}{H^2}\Big]G[Z(x,x');m_\xi^2]=0 \tag{54}$$

whose solution is given by the hypergeometric function

$$G[Z;m_\xi^2]=\frac{H^2}{16\pi^2}\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}+\nu_\xi)\Gamma(\frac{3}{2}-\nu_\xi)\;{}_2F_1\Big[\frac{3}{2}+\nu_\xi,\frac{3}{2}-\nu_\xi,2;Z\Big],\;\nu_\xi=\sqrt{\frac{9}{4}-\frac{m_\xi^2}{H^2}}. \tag{55}$$

At $x=x'$, (55) is correctly normalized for (54) to give $\delta^4(x,x')$ with unit weight. We observe that $\nu_\xi\geq 0\to 0<\xi\leq\frac{3}{4}$. The negative ξ is not allowed because it gives us tachyon. Here we note that $G[Z,m_\xi^2=0]\to G_{\rm mmc}(Z)$ and $G[Z,m^2_{\xi=2/3}=2H^2]\to G_{\rm mcc}(Z)$. However, it is a formidable task to obtain its scalar power spectrum by Fourier transforming (53) at $\eta=\eta'$ because it is difficult to Fourier transform $G[Z(\mathbf{x},\eta;\mathbf{x}',\eta),m_\xi^2]$ with $Z=1-\frac{|\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}'|^2}{4\eta^2}$. In the next section, we could compute the scalar power spectrum for arbitrary $\xi\leq 3/4$ directly.

4 Scalar spectrum

In order to compute scalar power spectrum directly, we have to obtain the second-order bilinear action. Making use of the Ostrogradski's formalism, one may rewrite the fourth-order bilinear action $\delta S_{\rm NF}$ obtained by bilinearizing (1) as the second-order bilinear action

$$\delta S^{(2)}_{\rm NF}=\frac{1}{2M^2}\int d^4x\Big[a^2H^2\Big((3\xi-4)\alpha^2-3\xi\partial_i\varphi\partial^i\varphi\Big)-\Big((\alpha')^2-2\partial_i\alpha\partial^i\alpha+\partial^2\varphi\partial^2\varphi +4aH\alpha\alpha'-4aH\alpha\partial^2\varphi\Big)+2M^2\lambda(\alpha-\varphi')\Big], \tag{56}$$

where $\alpha\equiv\varphi'$ is a new variable to lower fourth-order derivative down and λ is a Lagrange multiplier. In (56), the prime $(')$ denotes the differentiation with respect to η.

From the action (56), the conjugate momenta are given by

$$\pi_\varphi=-\lambda,\quad \pi_\alpha=-\frac{1}{M^2}(\alpha'+2aH\alpha). \tag{57}$$

Varying the action (56) with respect to λ and α leads to equations

$$\alpha=\varphi',\;\;\lambda=-\frac{1}{M^2}\Big(\alpha''+a^2H^2(3\xi-2)\varphi'-2\partial^2\alpha+2aH\partial^2\varphi\Big). \tag{58}$$

Plugging (58) into (57), the conjugate momenta are given by

$$\pi_\varphi = \frac{1}{M^2}\Big(\varphi''' + a^2H^2(3\xi - 2)\varphi' - 2\partial^2\varphi' + 2aH\partial^2\varphi\Big), \quad \pi_\alpha = -\frac{1}{M^2}(\varphi'' + 2aH\varphi'). \tag{59}$$

The canonical quantization is accomplished by imposing two commutation relations

$$[\hat{\varphi}(\eta, \mathbf{x}),\ \hat{\pi}_\varphi(\eta, \mathbf{x}')] = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \quad [\hat{\alpha}(\eta, \mathbf{x}),\ \hat{\pi}_\alpha(\eta, \mathbf{x}')] = i\delta(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'). \tag{60}$$

The field operator $\hat{\varphi}$ can be expanded in Fourier modes as

$$\hat{\varphi}(\eta, \mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\int d^3\mathbf{k}\Big[\Big(\hat{a}_\mathbf{k}\phi^{(1)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta) + \hat{b}_\mathbf{k}\phi^{(2)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}} + \text{ h.c.}\Big], \tag{61}$$

where $\phi^{(1)}_\mathbf{k}$ and $\phi^{(2)}_\mathbf{k}$ were given by (30) and (31). When one substitutes (61) into the operator of $\hat{\pi}_\varphi$, $\hat{\alpha}(\equiv \hat{\varphi}')$, and $\hat{\pi}_\alpha$, one obtains the corresponding expressions. Plugging these all into (60), two commutation relations take the forms

$$[\hat{a}_\mathbf{k},\ \hat{a}^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}'}] = \delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'), \qquad [\hat{b}_\mathbf{k},\ \hat{b}^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}'}] = -\delta(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}'). \tag{62}$$

It is noted that two mode operators $(\hat{a}_\mathbf{k}, \hat{b}_\mathbf{k})$ are necessary to take into account of fourth-order theory quantum mechanically as the Pais-Uhlenbeck fourth-order oscillator has been shown in [27]. We remind the reader that the unusual commutator for $(\hat{b}_\mathbf{k}, \hat{b}^\dagger_{\mathbf{k}'})$ reflects that the FK term contains the ghost state scalar [28]. In addition, two Wronskian conditions are found to be

$$\begin{aligned}
&\Big[\phi^{(1)}_\mathbf{k}\Big\{\Big(\phi^{*(1)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)''' + \Big((3\xi-2)a^2H^2 + 2k^2\Big)\Big(\phi^{*(1)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)' - 2aHk^2\phi^{*(1)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big\} \\
&-\phi^{(2)}_\mathbf{k}\Big\{\Big(\phi^{*(2)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)''' + \Big((3\xi-2)a^2H^2 + 2k^2\Big)\Big(\phi^{*(1)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)' - 2aHk^2\phi^{*(2)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big\}\Big] - \ c.c. = iM^2, \\
&\Big[\Big(\phi^{(1)}_\mathbf{k}\Big)'\Big\{\Big(\phi^{*(1)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)'' + 2aH\Big(\phi^{*(1)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)'\Big\} \\
&\qquad\qquad -\Big(\phi^{(2)}_\mathbf{k}\Big)'\Big\{\Big(\phi^{*(2)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)'' + 2aH\Big(\phi^{*(2)}_\mathbf{k}(\eta)\Big)'\Big\}\Big] - c.c. = -iM^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

which will be used to fix the coefficients of solutions $\phi^{(1)}_\mathbf{k}$ and $\phi^{(2)}_\mathbf{k}$ to two second-order equations

$$\bar{\nabla}^2\phi^{(1)}_\mathbf{k} = 0, \tag{64}$$

$$(\bar{\nabla}^2 - m^2_\xi)\phi^{(2)}_\mathbf{k} = 0, \tag{65}$$

where m_ξ^2 is given by (52). Explicitly, the solutions are determined to be

$$\phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)} = \frac{M}{m_\xi}\frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}}(i+z)e^{iz}, \quad \phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(2)} = \frac{M}{m_\xi}\frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}}z^{\frac{3}{2}}H_{\nu_\xi}(z), \tag{66}$$

where $H_{\nu_\xi}^{(1)}$ is the first-kind Hankel function and its index ν_ξ is given by (55).

On the other hand, the power spectrum of the scalar is defined by [6]

$$\langle 0|\hat{\varphi}(\eta,\mathbf{x})\hat{\varphi}(\eta,\mathbf{x}')|0\rangle = \int d^3\mathbf{k}\frac{\mathcal{P}_\varphi(k,\eta)}{4\pi k^3}e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{x}')}. \tag{67}$$

Considering the Bunch-Davies vacuum state imposed by $\hat{a}_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle = 0$ and $\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle = 0$, (67) is computed as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_\varphi(k,\eta) &= \frac{k^3}{2\pi^2}\left(\left|\phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(1)}\right|^2 - \left|\phi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(2)}\right|^2\right) &(68)\\ &= \frac{M^2}{12\xi\pi^2}\Big[(1+z^2) - \frac{\pi}{2}z^3|H_{\nu_\xi}^{(1)}(z)|^2\Big], &(69)\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (52). Importantly, the minus sign ($-$) in (68) appears because the unusual commutation relation $(\hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}}, \hat{b}_{\mathbf{k}'}^\dagger)$ for ghost state was used. We expect to derive the power spectrum (69) by Fourier transforming (53) at $\eta = \eta'$.

For $\xi = 2/3(\nu_\xi = 1/2)$, it is shown that considering

$$H_{1/2}^{(1)}(z) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}z^{-1/2}e^{i(z-\pi/2)}, \tag{70}$$

the power spectrum (69) is found to be

$$\mathcal{P}_\varphi = \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2}\Big[1 + \frac{k^2}{(aH)^2} - \frac{k^2}{(aH)^2}\Big] = \frac{M^2}{8\pi^2}. \tag{71}$$

For $M^2 = 2H^2$, it leads to the HZ scale-invariant power spectrum

$$\mathcal{P}_\varphi^{M^2=2H^2} = \left(\frac{H}{2\pi}\right)^2, \tag{72}$$

which is just the same form as in (49). We emphasize that the minus sign in (71) is essential to derive a scale-invariant spectrum from the scale-variant spectrum (69).

Finally, we would like to mention that for $\xi \neq 2/3$, its power spectrum (69) becomes

$$\mathcal{P}_\varphi(k,\eta) = \frac{M^2}{12\xi\pi^2}\Big[1 + \Big(\frac{k}{aH}\Big)^2 - \frac{\pi}{2}\Big(\frac{k}{aH}\Big)^3|H_{\nu_\xi}^{(1)}\Big(\frac{k}{aH}\Big)|^2\Big], \tag{73}$$

which is obviously a scale-variant spectrum for dS inflation. In the superhorizon limit of $z \to 0 (k \ll aH)$, (73) takes the form

$$\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}(k,\eta)|_{z\to 0} = \frac{M^2}{12\xi\pi^2}\Big[1-\Big(\frac{\Gamma(\nu_\xi)}{\Gamma(3/2)}\Big)^2\Big(\frac{k}{2aH}\Big)^{3-2\nu_\xi}\Big] \tag{74}$$

which is not scale-invariant.

5 Discussions

We have obtained an exactly scale-invariant spectrum of scalar perturbation generated during de Sitter inflation from the gravity model of the nonminimal derivative coupling with fourth-order term. This is the case of $\xi = 2/3$ where there was cancellation between healthy massless scalar and unhealthy (ghost) scalar. For $\xi \neq 2/3$, we have obtained the scale-variant spectrum (73) where there was no cancellation between healthy massless scalar and unhealthy massive scalar.

The nonminimal derivative coupling term generates a healthy second-order term of $-2H^2\bar{\nabla}^2\varphi$ for $\xi = 2/3$, while the fourth-order term provides an unhealthy fourth-order derivative term. This combination provided the linearized scalar equation of $\Delta_4\varphi = 0$ expressed in term of the Weyl fourth-order operator Δ_4 in dS spacetime. In this sense, our model $S_{\rm NF}$ of NDC with FK term is more promising than the LW scalar model (2) where the NDC term is replaced by CC term of $-\bar{\nabla}^2\varphi$.

Now we explain a consistency of our perturbation theory in dS spacetime. The dS-invariant correlation function (55) was constructed to possess full conformal invariance. We note that our propagator (35) is a logarithmic correlator with the zero conformal weight. This means that our model (1) with $\xi = 2/3$ may be considered as a minimal model which is allowed by unitarity of quantum field theory in dS spacetime. Our fluctuations which are similar to the anomaly scalar fluctuations [23, 24] can give rise to the HZ scale-invariant power spectrum (49) in a fully SO(3,1) conformally invariant way on the dS horizon. This implies that that (35) can give the unitary scale-invariant power spectrum (50) in dS spacetime. However, our model with $\xi \neq 2/3$ has led to the scale-variant spectrum (73) which violates the unitarity.

The HZ scale-invariant spectrum was obtained from Fourier transforming the fourth-order propagator (35) in de Sitter spacetime. Taming a logarithmic IR divergence by making use of the Cesàro summability technique, we arrived at the power spectrum of $(H/2\pi)^2$. Importantly, this HZ spectrum was also recovered by computing the power spectrum in its momentum space directly. In obtaining the power spectrum, we have used the Ostrogradski's formalism and quantization scheme of Pais-Uhlenbeck fourth-order oscillator. Hence, it is argued that our model (1) can provide a consistent perturbation theory on the dS background. Here, we remark that in the case of $M^2 = 1$, one has a scalar with zero mass dimension in (1). This corresponds to the case (7.3) in [24]. In this case, one has a constant power spectrum of $\mathcal{P} = 1/(8\pi^2)$ which seems to be trivial.

Finally, we would like to mention the ghost issue because the FK term generates ghost problem. It is well known that NDC term does not contain a ghost due to the use of the Einstein tensor as a coupling function, while other NDC terms could contain ghosts [22]. We discuss this issue by separating the dS background from the perturbation around dS background. This suggests strongly that one has to distinguish higher-time derivative terms (ghost) from ghost state (negative-norm state). As was observed from (8), it is evident that $\rho_{\rm NDC}$ does not contain any dangerous higher-time derivative terms (ghost), while $\rho_{\rm FK}$ involves fourth-order time derivatives (ghost). In the case of choosing dS background (H=const, $V = \Lambda$, ϕ=const), all time-derivatives of H and ϕ disappear. This implies that we never worry about the ghost issue on the background evolution. In the case of slow-roll inflation (quasi-dS), however, the ghost problem arises due to the presence of $\rho_{\rm FK}$. Going back to the perturbed scalar equation (51), its fourth-order propagator (53) shows the ghost state (negative sign in front of $G[Z(x,x'), m^2_\xi]$). However, for $\xi = 2/3$, its propagator reduces to the logarithmic form (35) in dS spacetime which shows no ghost states even though minus sign is present. By Fourier transforming it at equal-time leads to the scale-invariant spectrum (49) for $M^2 = 2H^2$ which is free from ghost state (negative-norm state). This was confirmed by computing the power spectrum directly: (73)→(71). Even though the FK term gives rise to ghost state apparently, a special fourth-order propagator (71) could be represented by a difference of green functions between mmc (massless minimally coupled) scalar and mcc (massless conformally coupled) scalar propagating in dS background. The former corresponds to the dS-invariant renormalized two-point function, whereas the latter represents the simplest scalar two-point function in dS spacetimes. This is closely related to the fact that Δ_4 in (23) becomes the Weyl operator (conformally covariant fourth-order operator in dS Spacetime). This difference indicates the power spectrum (50) which is clearly ghost-free (positive-norm state) and scale-invariant. However, it seems unlikely to obtain the ghost-free propagator from the same operator of $\partial^2(\partial^2 - m^2)$ in Minkowski spacetime [29].

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) grant funded by the Korea government (MEST) (No.2012-R1A1A2A10040499).

References

[1] S. Pandolfi, A. Cooray, E. Giusarma, E. W. Kolb, A. Melchiorri, O. Mena and P. Serra, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 123509 (2010) [arXiv:1003.4763 [astro-ph.CO]].

[2] S. Tsujikawa, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 083518 (2012) [arXiv:1201.5926 [astro-ph.CO]].

[3] P. Creminelli, A. Nicolis and E. Trincherini, JCAP **1011**, 021 (2010) [arXiv:1007.0027 [hep-th]].

[4] F. Lanusse, P. Paykari, J.-L. Starck, F. Sureau and J. Bobin, Astron. Astrophys. **571**, L1 (2014) [arXiv:1410.2571 [astro-ph.CO]].

[5] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A16 (2014) [arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO]].

[6] D. Baumann, arXiv:0907.5424 [hep-th].

[7] A. Youssef, Phys. Lett. B **718** (2013) 1095 [arXiv:1203.3171 [gr-qc]].

[8] Y. S. Myung and T. Moon, JCAP **1502**, no. 02, 044 (2015) [arXiv:1412.7263 [gr-qc]].

[9] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, Phys. Rev. D **64**, 104022 (2001) [hep-th/0106151].

[10] Y. S. Myung and T. Moon, arXiv:1501.01749 [gr-qc].

[11] L. Amendola, Phys. Lett. B **301** (1993) 175 [gr-qc/9302010].

[12] S. Capozziello and G. Lambiase, Gen. Rel. Grav. **31** (1999) 1005 [gr-qc/9901051].

[13] E. N. Saridakis and S. V. Sushkov, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 083510 (2010) [arXiv:1002.3478 [gr-qc]].

[14] C. Germani and A. Kehagias, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 011302 (2010) [arXiv:1003.2635 [hep-ph]].

[15] C. Germani and Y. Watanabe, JCAP **1107**, 031 (2011) [Addendum-ibid. **1107**, A01 (2011)] [arXiv:1106.0502 [astro-ph.CO]].

[16] T. D. Lee and G. C. Wick, Nucl. Phys. B **9** (1969) 209.

[17] T. D. Lee and G. C. Wick, Phys. Rev. D **2**, 1033 (1970).

[18] Y. F. Cai, T. t. Qiu, R. Brandenberger and X. m. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 023511 (2009) [arXiv:0810.4677 [hep-th]].

[19] G. W. Horndeski, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **10**, 363 (1974).

[20] C. Deffayet, S. Deser and G. Esposito-Farese, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 064015 (2009) [arXiv:0906.1967 [gr-qc]].

[21] S. Tsujikawa, Lect. Notes Phys. **892**, 97 (2015) [arXiv:1404.2684 [gr-qc]].

[22] S. V. Sushkov, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 103505 (2009) [arXiv:0910.0980 [gr-qc]].

[23] E. Mottola, Acta Phys. Polon. B **41**, 2031 (2010) [arXiv:1008.5006 [gr-qc]].

[24] I. Antoniadis, P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, JCAP **1209** (2012) 024 [arXiv:1103.4164 [gr-qc]].

[25] J. Bros, H. Epstein and U. Moschella, Lett. Math. Phys. **93**, 203 (2010) [arXiv:1003.1396 [hep-th]].

[26] A. Higuchi and Y. C. Lee, Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 135019 (2009) [arXiv:0903.3881 [gr-qc]].

[27] P. D. Mannheim and A. Davidson, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 042110 (2005) [hep-th/0408104].

[28] T. j. Chen and E. A. Lim, JCAP **1405**, 010 (2014) [arXiv:1311.3189 [hep-th]].

[29] N. H. Barth and S. M. Christensen, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 1876 (1983).